

REMARKS

By this amendment, Claims 1, 9 and 11 have been amended. Claims 1-4 and 7-13 remain pending in the application, with Claims 1, 7, 9 and 11 being independent claims. Claims 1-4, 9 and 10 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Masaki (U.S. Patent No. 6,616,328 B1) in view of Allgaier (U.S. Patent No. 4,650,344). Claims 11-13 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Kawaguchi (U.S. Patent No. 5,016,231) in view of Allgaier. Claims 7 and 8 remain allowed.

Independent Claims 1, 9 and 11 have been amended to recite, in part, that the terminal performs mobile communication functions including voice communication.

The Examiner concedes that Masaki nor Kawaguchi disclose using their devices to perform mobile communication functions. The Examiner states that Allgaier discloses an analog type watch that performs mobile communication functions, and asserts that it would have been obvious to provide the mobile communication function allegedly taught by Allgaier to the analog type watches disclosed by Masaki and Kawaguchi.

Allgaier describes a radio controlled timepiece having a standard time display including an hour hand and a minute hand that generates an indication of the quality of a received coded time information that can be readily interpreted by a user without the necessity of additional display means. Allgaier nowhere teaches or reasonably suggests providing mobile communication functions including voice communications to the radio signal controlled timepiece including a display for indicating the reception of a transmitted signal.

In contrast, the present invention provides an apparatus and method for adjusting time in a terminal with a built-in analog watch that provides mobile communications functions including voice communications.

More particularly, Allgaier, Masaki, Kawaguchi, or any combination thereof, fails to teach or reasonably suggest providing mobile communication functions including voice communications, as recited in Claims 1, 9 and 11.

Accordingly, amended independent Claims 1, 9 and 11 are allowable over Allgaier, Masaki, Kawaguchi, or any combination thereof.

While not conceding the patentability of the dependent claims, *per se*, Claims 2-4, 10, 12 and 13 are also allowable for at least the above reasons.

Accordingly, all of the claims pending in the Application, namely, Claims 1-4 and 7-13, are believed to be in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner may contact Applicants' attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,



Paul J. Farrell
Reg. No. 33,494
Attorney for Applicant

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM
333 Earle Ovington Blvd., Suite 701
Uniondale, New York 11553
Tel: (516) 228-3565
Fax: (516) 228-8475

PJF/TCS/dr